

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,981	03/10/2004	Hisashi Nagata	1035-499	2189	
23117 75	590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER DUONG, THOI V		
	NDERHYE, PC				
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLENOTON,	VII DEDOS		2871		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E/

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/795,981	NAGATA ET AL.	NAGATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			

	Thoi V. Duong		2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover si	heet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filiving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with a se with 37 CFR 1.11	ing a Notice of imendment, aff appeal fee) in o 4. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) thater than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BO	he date set forth If from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory per than three months afte	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or se			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•••			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	er of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	• • • •	otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			inpliant / infondmone (	1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ed, or b) 🗌 wi nded.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-15,28,35-37 and 42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d before or on the day sufficient reasons	why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejection	ns under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place th	e application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO	-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitation "the storage capacitor common line extending across a plurality of pixels" recited in claims 9, 12, 14, 35 and 42 raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search..

A Malutte ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER